Dear Bill, 8/26/97

I've not been able to keep up with correspondence for several added reasons. One is finding the copy I'd kept of the first epilogue to Waketh and the other has been locating who had said he'd retype the Brown manuscript and what had happened to it. On the latter I've been told that it was mailed to me in April and I've asked for a mail check at that end and on the first epilogue I'm getting to the end of the cutting and some rewriting. Yesterday I gave six chapters to the son and daughter-in-law of an old friend for retyping. And tomorrow I should be interviewed by lawyers for a deposition they are to take her day after tomorrow. I hope for the sake of their client they do not skip this preparation but can't tell now if they will. More on this later.

Glad to hear about the copies of WW. With sales as slack as they have been that should last a while unless another college uses them in teaching. I think that the ARRB has successfully misled most people into believing they will get all assassination information out and they are not even seeking information about the assassination itself.

I suppose that when you have the copies you want the best thing to do with those disks is return them. On copies, let us give that more thought. It would probably be a good idea for me to have a bound copy for lending. I think McKnight should have a copy to keep at home for his work at home and one for school for use there but when there is time I'll ask him about his and ask which he has now. But I do not want this to be a burden for you, especially not when you are busy.

Jones and JFK-Lancer, absolutely not. Give then nothing from me. There are several reasons. One is that they know nothing about the subejct and are runnung a business on and with it. Another is the man they not have heading there editing. He is nut and egomaniacal and at the same time a real subject-matter ignoramus. His name is George Michael Evica. And believe it or not, a couple of years ago when he and his assistant were preparing for a gatherting of the Third Decade nuts they actually solicited a paper on me as a CIA agent! And insisted that what they did was correct.

We have no way of knowing the number of shots or what was fired. I believe it is likely that silencers were used, too. But we have no proofs. Comes from the crime itself not being investigated. It is certain that at least some of the bullets fired were not military. But we can't know the answers to the questions you asked because that information was not sought and is not included in what is referred to as the investigation.

Before say any more on the printouts I'd like to be assured they are not any real problem for you before asking for any. Thanks and best to you all

Harold